



THE MONOLITHIC-TRADITIONS OF RUSSIA- A CRITICAL STUDY

*GHULAM MUSTAFA

**FADZILA AZNI BINTI AHMAD

* Lecturer Islamia College Civil Lines, Lahore

**Associate Professor

Nationality MALAYSIA

School/ Centre PUSAT KAJIAN PENGURUSAN PEMBANGUNAN ISLAM (ISDEV)

Email: sukainah@usm.my

ABSTRACT

State tyranny in Soviet Union imagined and concentrated as an interesting marvel named with the socialist convention. While finding and making originations with respect to this specific and predominant pattern in favor of Politburo and Kremlin, a scope of general discernment manages it as a fundamental and flighty arrangement received by soviet administration to make it exclusionary. Thusly, that structure of stretching out the communist regulations to meet extreme goal for example Socialism, that point of reference was not just embraced, rather it graduated with communist change in more powerful character. This investigation, anyway intends to follow the tremendous points of reference in regard of soviet tyranny, which prompted its solid arrangements with the beginning of Great October Socialist Revolution in 1917, trailed by the development of Union of Soviet Socialist Republics in 1922. In this endeavor, the solid customs of majestic Russia introduced as an associate introduction to the later communist improvements in post-communist transformation.

Catchphrases: Tsar. Socialisms, Socialism, Authoritarianism. Solid.

Russian history and communist insurgency

The development of thorough comprehension about the extremely long haul foundation of the Russian Revolution requires an investigation of Multi viewpoint Russian history. There has consistently been a contention among Russian and non-Russian (western) researchers about whether the Macro changes in Russian History were because of outer elements or these are the interior factors that impact the molding cycle of Russian socio-political changes either on Macro degree of urban culture or miniature layers of rustic and rural society. ⁽¹⁾ Yet, non-Russian antiquarian accentuation upon the outer variables the shape the course of Russian history. ⁽²⁾ Notwithstanding, as consistently is the situation with insurgency the inside variables are constantly given supremacy over outer elements. In any case the investigation of upheaval may go under solid spell of paranoid notions. Subsequently consequently it would be more suitable to dissect the reasons for episode of Bolshevik transformation in long haul authentic viewpoint.

Russian supreme history



The written history of Russian goes back to the 850 A.D. Around then Russian was occupied by Slavic Tribe. The speculation of this examination paper is especially owed to the long range history of Russian realm structure. Since unquestionably it tends to be expressed that Russia is the main nation on the planet written history with most magnificent static developments. Russian realm is the main domain on the outside of world history, which resuscitated her for multiple times. There had been four realm structures on the dirt of Russia in back to back request.

1. First Empire was Kieran realm .c.850-1240. ⁽³⁾
2. Second realm was the Muscovite domain .c.1400-1605. ⁽⁴⁾
3. Thirds was Great Romanov Empire.c.1613 - 1917. ⁽⁵⁾
4. Last one was the Union of Soviet Socialist Republic.c.1922-1991. ⁽⁶⁾

From fifteenth to eighteenth century Russian Tsars, ⁽⁷⁾ Ivan IV, Peter the Great⁽⁸⁾ and Nicholas I⁽⁹⁾ determined totalitarian force which looked like with oriental oppression and Russian dictatorship did not have the character of western government of contemporary period. ⁽¹⁰⁾ The archaic Russian was much the same as a semi-Asiatic-oppressive government. Maybe topographical area had yielded her to be weird from west and carried it closer to focal Asian-socio-political culture. Be that as it may, with eighteenth century Peter the Great and Catherin had attempted their most extreme to westernize their domain. Dwindle presented numerous changes in his nation affected by European Tradition. In 1703 he built up the city of Petersburg on authentic western boundaries. Truth be told his arrangements encourage the collaboration or mixture of western culture with Russian. In this way in such a way the Greater Russian Empire was set up on new socio-political lines imported from west.

Strict impact was likewise one of the major verifiable elements which helped Muscovite realm to embrace dictator shape when Ottoman Turks involved Constantinople in 1453 this significant occasion cultivated the resulting idea of Russian's Moscow as the third Rome. New profound status of Moscow granted centrality of customary Christendom with over incorporated elements of static specialists. This centralization of Russian political and monetary and social organization under the Auspices of Orthodox Church had brought about more confined unbending and halfway overwhelmed Russian circles of life. This was a significant groundbreaking stage in Russian history as it laid bases for solid nature of middle age style. This solid nature was additionally strengthened by Byzantine social and political customs. ⁽¹¹⁾

This development stayed flawless in its most unbending structure until seventeenth century when Russian state under Tsar broke this Orthodox Church's focal status and made all centralization towards Tsarist power. This change related to secularization of Russian State. Tyrant control moved from Orthodox Church to Tsarist hands. This change has been recognized in such a way that endless issues were delivered through this change in light of the fact that to this period strategy of westernization did by Peter the Great had embedded a conflicting hole among Russian. So numerous basic blemishes were soaked up through this arrangement of westernization. As per westernization venture respectability and upper



layers of urban culture were engaged. All changes with their effect stayed inside a shut circle and Russian state with more totalitarian character get further differentiation from its masses. In Tsarist period Russian Empire was the main state on the planet which formally announced itself as absolutism.⁽¹²⁾ Around then Russian domain had incredible likeness with conventional tyrant conditions of middle age Asia. It was common case of customary dictatorship. Hypothetically and basically all forces were vested in the individual of Tsar. Focus situated strategies denied Tsarist Static to achieve with necessity of overextended limits of Russia. Tsar himself lived far off from the individuals his will couldn't be addressed and his approaches were fundamentally worried about the high governmental issues as opposed to grass root level. So such a tyrant mentality augmented the inlet between Tsar's focal structure and the Masses circles. The main contact among laborer and government was conceivable through two government authorities one was the Tax gatherer and second was Army scout.⁽¹³⁾ Third compelling change happened in the mid nineteenth century during the rule of Paul I when Prussian style discipline was attempted to force in Russian state. Around then the Soviet government was raised upon serfdom and totalitarianism. Rulers and their selected Ministers reasserted their specialists over respectability and serfdom. At that point Alexander I (1801-1825) confined further serfdom yet he dodged central changes in political and social texture. This absolutist set up faced with the serious difficulties of famous rebellions during the time of Tsar Alexander I yet the system struck to the oppressive administration. Divided realm with over brought together totalitarian static didn't appear to understand compromise.⁽¹⁴⁾

Nineteenth Century Development

All the advancement of nineteenth Century Russian give an understandable foundation to grasp the course to red transformation of 1917. The perception of these improvements will be meddlesome to build an investigative evaluation of this scene. Russian thrashing in Crimean war⁽¹⁵⁾ was maybe the most noteworthy occasion which uncovered inconsistency of that customary Russian structure dependent on serfdom with profoundly created and creating Western European mainland. Huge industrialization structures encouraged Russian Tsarist government to understand the need of earnest change in serfdom. The main huge component of this time was the liberation of serfs. The liberation Act of 1861 allowed private worker serf their opportunity from serfdom. In any case, this liberation didn't end their misuse. Liberation act influenced fundamentally the serfs of respectability and their lion's share opposed the change. Land masters denied workers of the best ripe land so laborers had to lease land from land rulers on hard and improper terms. Their old masters indeed recouped their previous control over their old slave (Serf) with the full help of Tsar Alexander's administration. Notwithstanding of its restriction and weaknesses the liberation Act changed and assisted with changing the Russian domain from medieval nation to similarly current. This change opened another part throughout the entire existence of Russian as it leveled introductory reason for private enterprise by improving industrialization.

Appearance of private enterprise in Russia



Industrialization showed up Russia in the most recent decade of the nineteenth century. Improvement in the field of mining, assembling and railroad tracks development began. In any case, all these advancement was owed a lot to the unfamiliar mediation in the structure capital venture. Before nineteenth century's over free enterprise had arrived at it top. In Russia capital formed into government with various awful and particular highlights. Nation was administered by the delegate of Tsarists government and medieval landowners.

Russia turned into the center of all sort of abusive frontier, feudalistic and industrialist impacts. The vast majority of this capital speculation originated from government sources or from unfamiliar sources. Russian industry was gathered in scarcely any hands. The exceptionally thought industry was encouraged by the improvement endeavors of banks and multi stock organizations. Western imported and affected industrialization had carried with itself all the destructive highlights, which an entrepreneur system soaked up. All such destructive impact put ghastly effect on effectively misused laborers harshly.

In Russia the cycle of industrialization and liberation of serfdom began nearly simultaneously with minor timespan. In view of the semi correspondence a suitable number of laborers advanced towards industrialized zone to set out for prosperous future. This assembly had expanded the quantity of mechanical specialists yet their fantasy didn't appear as they needed to turn into the survivor of unsafe side of industrialization with low wages and inferior quality of life and helpless convenience.⁽¹⁶⁾ Modern cycle was packed in little hand. It was either under the sponsorship of state run establishment or in the possession of little industrialist class with wide portion of unfamiliar capital venture. The vast majority of the banks were heavily influenced by European bank. Over 40% capital of venture was directed by unfamiliar bank. All the attributes of this industrialization progress of Russia came about into the sheer abuse of laborers. Laborers couldn't get away from this misuse and monstrous size of abuse got pervasive in Russia lower layers. This wonder made the image more antagonistic at the finish of nineteenth century. From numerous points of view the work state of Russian specialists was in delicacy as that of English common laborers of mid nineteenth century. Britain. Low classes knew about their abuse and before long began pondering how to get their privileges and even how to dispose of this exploitive framework.

The second period of merciless misuse was begun with the earliest reference point of twentieth century when western world was dove into financial emergencies as free enterprise had consistently face emergencies after certain immersion or some other specific reasons so it occurred in Russian likewise the flood of western contributed capital began to reduce strongly.⁽¹⁷⁾ This emergencies came about into another emergencies of wide spread neediness among masses as their salary compensation turned out to be low their buying power likewise on the most reduced ebb. This exasperated the emergencies and white collar class succumb to this basic financial emergency. There were a couple of medium enterprises in Russian practically all such businesses shut because of deficiency of speculation and so forth. This circumstance came about into another more severe monetary emergency in light of the fact that lone the biggest endeavors had the option to endure the money related emergency.⁽¹⁸⁾



During this period enormous ventures changed over into capital imposing business models. All these couple of restraining infrastructures were under the exacting control both of Tsarist state or unfamiliar industrialist hands. Taking points of interest during the emergency unfamiliar banks purchased the portions of Russian indigenous ventures and banks and turned into the proprietors of these endeavors. This demonstration made the circumstance further antagonistic and expanded the reliance of Russian entrepreneur on western private enterprise. During this emergency period at that point number of laborers began to decrease. The greater part assembly line laborers were released during the time of three years. Joblessness expanded and state of work declined up to hyper level. Society turned out to be monetarily muddled and rushed the need the political arousing of the common laborers of Russia.

This financial fretfulness could demonstrate hazardous for the static position. Rather than managing this anxiety by remuneration Tsarist specialists managed this with severe measures. This persecution set the laborers to consider the reasons for their hard conditions and they started to comprehend that the most noticeably terrible foe of their flourishing and most dependable authority of this was despotic government set up. Progressive social democrats had taken the vanguard of this fretfulness. Their paper Iskar assumed an exceptionally earth shattering function in arousing the individuals and caused them to comprehend of their misuse did by Tsarist government with joint endeavors of unfamiliar capital forces. This paper uncovered the flippancy and wrongdoings of Tsarist totalitarianisms rule. A quick glance at Russian History proposes nearness of a unified position. ⁽¹⁹⁾ Post-Crimean war time saw extraordinary changes in Russian culture in the midst of the moving effect of progressive Europe, there Sprouted liberal and radical developments. Another factor which further drove towards these progressions was Russian walk towards industrialization with the progression of time these liberal and progressive developments started to challenge the Tsarist totalitarianism.

This restriction development against system was spoken to by two significant gatherings the nonconformists and the radicals. Dissidents endeavored to change and improve the state and society through tranquil methods. Then again the later embraced the brutal course by reacting the suppression and tyranny of the Tsarist system through the demonstrations of viciousness. They even intended to over through the Tsarist system.

They likewise attempted to prevail upon the compassion of the working class. Notwithstanding these endeavors the radicals neglected to activate the majority for huge scope. In 1860 an enthusiastic mission was completed with the point of convincing and animating the workers to ascend against the primitive rulers and despotic government. Yet, the working class neglected to initiate itself enough and to a great extent stayed apathetic regarding the development. ⁽²⁰⁾ During 1860's all developments and program of rebellions against Tsarist state were fruitless as a result of different components for example absence of union, authoritative insufficiencies, disunity inside their positions and even the absence of amazing philosophy. They couldn't get vital open help as to present genuine test to Tsarist system neither one of the they could assemble working class into any revolt adventure.

Among these developments which sprang in the midst of the calamitous changes occurring in Russian culture the most obvious one was Nihilism.



(21) Skeptic was amazingly antagonistic about imperious arrangement of government. Skepticism in the accompanying years created the propensity of utilizing dread as political instrument. Russian radicals were tremendously enlivened by this philosophy and its utilization turned out to be more regular towards the finish of nineteenth century. Without any sorted out and mass mainstream development against the definitive government the most understandable resistance to the imperious system originated from the scholarly people.

The word scholarly people was in mainstream speech in Russia signified a savvy individual who was adversary of Tsarists state. Intellectual elite had a place with the displeased segment of the respectability with liberal and communist's propensities. Individuals with moderate political perspectives turned out to be progressively vocal towards the need of complete redoing of Russian political framework and as they would see it was unrealistic without destroying absolutism. The plan of advancement further evoked serious reactions from both liberal and traditionalist. Then again the later were absolutely against the dictatorship and furthermore against the parliamentary type of government since they thought about it as a defender of the interests of bourgeoisie democrats and proprietors. (22)

Socio political circumstance turned out to be a lot of serious towards the finish of nineteenth century. In 1881 Alexander II was killed. (23) This death had exceptionally shocking ramifications for Russian culture. Every political development and associations were savagely smothered. Alexander II was triumphed by Alexander III who controlled with decree with confidence in the force and right of totalitarianism. The state suppression that followed Tsarist death came about into the purging up of Revolutionary populism. This further heightened the philosophical vacuum, which was progressively acknowledged by various segment of the Russian culture. Laborer and Industrial specialists were stressed over vulnerability of circumstance they yearned for another belief system. Radical young people of 1880 were in critical need of new Radical Ideology, which could fulfill their progressive inclinations. They discovered their necessary Ideology into Marxism. Scholarly people motivated by Marxism presently became persuaded that Success of their belief system couldn't be accomplished except if it taught into the modern common laborers alongside lower class since the radical development of 1860's and the 70's were got flopped uniquely because of the absence of help from these components. Social law based development hence rose as an authentic Marxist gathering in the late nineteenth century. The social just program called for communist transformation, topple of the Tsarist government, destruction of private enterprise autocracy of low class and making of vote based republic with constitution, which would ensure the sway of the individuals.

Social popularity based held their Congress in London in 1903. In this Congress, social law based gathering confronted a split over the topic of gathering concentrated control part transport strategy. One gathering inside the gathering upheld the possibility that gathering ought to be extended in order to permit entering in its positions. This gathering supported a free association of common laborers. Then again another gathering drove by Lenin energetically contradicted this thought Lenin placated that those person who were devoted to party cause and have some understanding of association reserved the privilege to be



individual from party. Subsequently Social equitable gathering was part into two gatherings. The larger part segment upheld Lenin started to call it Bolsheviks and the other gathering drove by Martyr was marked as Mensheviks (minority).⁽²⁴⁾

Mensheviks received their new methodology of developmental battle against Tsarist government and supported the substitutions of czarist government by bourgeoisie popularity based regulation. Menshevik thought about this progression as momentary important to proceed towards genuine communist government. The Bolshevik received extraordinary and progressive strategy they were staunch adversary of bourgeoisie and needed to build up a low class domineering government after over tossing the government. Last Russian Tsar Nicholas II became ruler in 1894. He was likewise started to govern Russia with supreme forces. He requested that progressive development be stifled by savage measures. Caucasus, Armenia and different provinces product loaded up with freedom notions however needed to turn into a casualty of Russian majestic oppression. People groups of focal Asia also were oppressed of merciless abuse by Russian domain. The inward police disaster and outer articulation made a stale mess for Tsarist regime. Opposition from curbing of home opposition and maintenance of imperialism at outside resulted into an over stretched central government which was about he shattered as further. Historic culmination was about to complete in near future.⁽²⁵⁾

At the turn of the 19th century Russian liberals acquired not only a press but also acquired more cohesive political program. They revealed the voice for democratize the polity but regime refused to permit such democratic freedom. The liberal were gaining enough ground for their credibility. The decade of 1905-1914 witnessed a sharp rise in political activity in Russian. The weakness of autocratic regime became evident the ban on all political parties

The workers strikes became more frequent, disturbance occurred by the stagnation of agriculture, impoverishment of the peasantry all such become the features of Russian society in the first decade of 20th century. The first monumental shock to Tsarists regime at that period was the defeat in the war with Japan in 1904. Defeat inflicted upon Russian a series of crises. Government had to give some relaxation, but this political relaxation aimed at reconciliation was not enough to calm down the hot atmosphere.

In January 22, 1905 a peaceful procession of thousands of workers was get fired by tsarist authorities. This event is known as Bloody Sunday.⁽²⁶⁾ Bloody Sunday had an electrifying effect on all over the Russia against the atrocities of autocratic government. Peasant rose in many parts of the Russia. The ensuing chaos soon reached in empire's colonies Poland, Finland, Ukraine, Caucasus region and central Asian states also agitated against the local Russia governing Authorities.

To deal with this mounting nationwide pressure government resorted to towards reconciliation and issues. In October 1905 soviet of worker's deputies were established these soviet were under the leadership of Menshevik policy. These soviets gained popularity among masses and began to demand a constitutional assembly with democratic setup and soon there sprouted a network of these soviets all over the empire. Under the



pressure of Mensheviks demands Tsar Nicholas II issue a manifesto, which granted people freedom of speech and some other political incentives.

It was a period of constitutional experiment and the most important development of this period were the initiatives on the part of Tsarist regime towards the transformation of absolute Tsarist Monarchy into constitutional system. However these constitution arrangements could not satisfy the desire of Russian Public. The reform measures only proved to be halfhearted for instance the Duma was dominated by constitutional democrats. This party gradually became representative body of professional middle class which was confined largely to urban areas and had no roots among the Peasant and working class. Russian Society on eve of 1914 had been undergoing a transition with numerous inequities and dominated by nobility which enjoyed a stake in legislative Assembly. ⁽²⁷⁾

The Revolution of 1905 of constitutional reform had failed but it came to be seen as a great rehearsal for October revolution of 1917. The Socio political condition of Russia was deteriorating and financial condition was on continuous decline.

First World War and overthrown of Romanov Monarchy

The outbreak of First world war had interrupted the political, economic, and Social Transformation of Russian Empire. By 1914 Russia gripped with so much industrial unrest and socio- Political dissatisfaction that it seemed that autocracy might not survive this catastrophic war. The inability of Russian allied forces to provide resources to Russia forced Russia to rely heavily on its own power and inadequately developed resource to continue the war. This self-dependency precipitated the domestic crisis. It had disastrous effects on agricultural and Industrial production, breakdown of communication and transportation network, severe shortage of food and other routine commodities were enough reasons to enhance hostility. The entire social and economic fiber of Russian Society was on the brink of collapse. While Tsar himself was on war front at home Tsarina under the supervision of Rasputin dissipated the governmental procedure. In this grim situation when Tsar returned Petrograd, he was stopped by revolutionaries and was forced to abdicate throne. Imperial family was arrested and thus the three hundred years of Romanov rule came to an end with following persecution of family. Tsarist regime failed to supply Leadership in rapidly declining empire. The crisis of leadership created a central vacuum which was eventually filled by revolutionaries.

Failure of Provisional government and Bolshevik revolution:

The temporary arrangement established in March 1917 by Moderates this legislature announced ability to speak freely and different change. At the point when opportunity arrived for the execution of these changes this administration fizzled as it came up short on the remaining alive will and a clear philosophy to go for achieving these goals. This temporary government spoke to landed respectability and Industrial high class and bound area of instruction working class. This temporary government was agreeable to liberal Bourgeoisie vote based system by ensuring advantaged class as it was a metropolitan Middle class inclining party so it needed proper comprehension about the state of working class and proletariat. Lenin re-



visitation of Russia and his introduction of April proposal end up being the defining moment. In April proposition, he requested quick finish of war, autocracy of working class, nationalization of grounds. Some time before that he was of the conviction that delayed time of industrialist advancement was basic as a momentary stage towards communist State. However, under new current industrialist government he propounded another idea that low class could incite the average fair upset and move direct to the communist insurgency. He got back from Switzerland and arranged the Bolsheviks in Petrograd to guarantee that all political forces ought to be vested in the Soviets.

In June temporary government moved an activity against German fronts. It was botch set by temporary government. The Russian Soldiers were hesitant to progress and withdrew in confusion. It was brilliant open door for Bolshevik to misuse and control political circumstance in support of its. Bolshevik gathering was littler gathering when contrasted with Menshevik and Social progressives. Anyway it was vastly improved in its association. Bolsheviks were picking up help among laborers and working class even in Military they had built up their clout. In July-August the Bolshevik party suddenly changed its technique and embraced the course of planning for Militant uprising against temporary government. In September, Lenin chose to hold onto power from temporary government. Toward the start of the October the Petrograd soviet framed a Military Revolutionary council (MRC) headed by Trotsky. 25 October was the arranged day by MRC to topple the temporary government headed by Alexander Kerensky. This was nearly blood less transformation. Not long after the unrest a 33 gathering of individuals' bonuses was chosen under the authority of Lenin, which was the legislative body bound to lead over Russia for the following not many year. There was strikingly little opposition against the Bolshevik and Bolshevik progressively figured out how to deal with nearly al-Soviets.

Progressive course of occasions from February to October 1917 finished into enormous occasion of twentieth century however what was its temperament in evident sense? Was this course unavoidable because of decided authentic concealment or was surprising. The response to this inquiry has been might be found in two significant methodologies which elucidate the genuine idea of this upset. One gathering of Sovietologists accentuation the moving toward breakdown of Tsarist Autocracy and Russian ground was immersed with multi-faceted concealment. ⁽²⁸⁾ Social, Political and Economic occasions and issues which made an atmosphere in Russia were favorable enough for the over through of Tsarist system and achieved the new request. They are of the view that the basic reasons for the Russian Revolution are established somewhere down in Russia's history. For quite a long time, dictatorial and harsh czarist systems governed the nation and the greater part of the populace lived under extreme financial and social conditions. During the nineteenth century and mid twentieth century different developments pointed toward toppling the harsh government were organized at various occasions by understudies, laborers, and workers. They concurred that numerous causes with profound chronicled establishes prompted the in evitable fiasco in Russia. Tsarist Centralized structure was unequipped for understanding the nation's fundamental social



political and money related issues. Neediness, persecution, unbending fascism, Lack of Human rights, impoverishments of masses were the verifiable highlights which achieved this breakdown.

Anyway there is another sentiment as per which upheaval of 1917 was not an unavoidable out happened to recorded variables. This assessment invalidated the crucial of Historical determinant powers working the achieving the upset.⁽²⁹⁾ Rather they are of the view that progressive circumstance was not of that level to cause upset they guarantee that laborers and worker remained to a great extent detached from progressive plan and development and progressive's development was generally kept distinctly to Urban Area. Just because Russia was going through an Industrial advancement with possibilities of not so distant future success. There were scarcely any indications of Empire in emergency. Lower class was dampened however faithful to tsar. The working class was little or more all however government was uncouth in strategy making yet solid in its forces of restraint. The types of this view battle that Empire fallen because of extremely quick explanation of World War I.

To survey the genuine idea of the variables which brought the breakdown of Russian Tsarist Empire affirmation of Historical determinism is significant, which made this unrest inescapable. First World War might be considered as a quick explanation however insurgencies motivated by philosophy are not basically result of calamitous occasions like war. There genuine birthplace might be followed in the chronicled basic variables which are very much dug in the socio political elements of a general public. The Russian realm crumbled uniquely to resurrect itself into new domain: U.S.S.R.

REFERENCES

1. David Mackenzie, *History of Soviet Union* (California, 1991) p.2.
2. Ibid.,
3. Philip, Longworth, *Russia's Empires: The Rise and Fall of the Russian Empires From Prehistory to Putin* (London, 2005) Pp.1.2.
4. Ibid.,
5. Ibid.,
6. Ibid.,
7. "Ivan IV Vasilyevich 1530-1584 was the Grand Duke of Muscovy from 1533 to 1547 and was the first ruler of Russia to assume the title of tsar (or czar). His long reign saw the conquest of Tartary and Siberia and subsequent transformation of Russia into a multiethnic and multiconfessional state. This tsar retains his place in the Russian tradition simply as Ivan Grozny which is traditionally translated into English as Ivan the Terrible"
Ivan IV Vasilyevich <http://en.wikipedia.org> . Assessed on 22 august 2007 at 7: pm.
8. "Peter the Great or Pyotr Alexeyevich Romanov (1672 -1725) ruled Russia from 1682 until his death, jointly ruling before 1696 with his weak and sickly half-brother, Ivan V. Peter carried out a policy of "Westernization" and expansion that transformed the Tsardom of Russia into the Russian Empire, a major European power".
Peter the Great <http://en.wikipedia.org>. Assessed on 22 august 2007 at 7: pm.
9. Nicholas I (Nikolai I Pavlovich 1796 -1855) was the Emperor of Russia from 1825 until 1855, known as one of the most reactionary of the Russian monarchs. He was also King of Poland. He was born in Gatchina to Emperor Paul I and Empress Maria Feodorovna. He was a younger brother to Alexander I of Russia and Grand Duke Constantine Pavlovich of Russia. Nicholas I <http://en.wikipedia.org> . Assessed on 22 august 2007 at 7: pm.



10. David Mackenzie, *History of Soviet Union* (California, 1991) p.11.
11. Ibid.,p19
12. Dared P.Hammer, *USSR: The Politics of Oligarchy* (London, 1986) p.15.16.
13. Ibid.,p.16
14. Ibid.,p.16
15. "The Crimean War (1853-1856) was fought between Imperial Russia on one side and an alliance of France, the United Kingdom, the Kingdom of Sardinia, and the Ottoman Empire on the other. Most of the conflict took place on the Crimean Peninsula, with additional actions occurring in western Turkey, the Baltic Sea region, and in the Russian Far East." The Crimean War is sometimes considered to be the first "modern" conflict and "introduced technical changes which affected the future course of warfare."
The Crimean War <http://en.wikipedia.org>. Assessed on22 august 2007 at 7: pm.
16. Geoffrey Stern, *The Atlas of Communism* (NewYork,1990) p.40.41.
17. A.M.Pankratova, *A History of Soviet Union* (Moscow,1948) p.15-19.
18. Ibid.,p.19.20
19. David Mackenzie, *History of Soviet Union* (California, 1991) p.53
20. Konstantine tarnvsky, *Illustrated History of USSR*(Moscow,1982) p.53.54
21. "The Nihilist movement was a 1860s Russian cultural movement which rejected existing authorities and values. It is derived from the Latin word "nihil", which means "nothing". After the assassination of Tsar Alexander II in 1881, the Nihilists were known throughout Europe as proponents of the use of violence as a tool for political change".
The Nihilist movement <http://en.wikipedia.org> .Assessed on22 august 2007 at 7: pm.
22. Ibid.,p.16
23. Alexander (1818-1881) was the Emperor of the Russian Empire and Tsar of Russia from 3 March 1855 until his assassination in 1881. He was also the Grand Duke of Finland and King of Poland until 1867 when it was annexed into the Russian Empire. In 1881 Alexander assassinated. Alexander <http://en.wikipedia.org>. Assessed on22 august 2007 at 7: pm.
24. Basil Dmytry ,*USSR:A Concise History*(NewYork,1990) p.28.29
25. Geoffrey Stern, *The Atlas of Communism*,p.59
26. Bloody Sunday was an incident on 22 January 1905 in St. Petersburg, Russia, where unarmed, peaceful demonstrators marching to present a petition to Tsar Nicholas II were gunned down by the Imperial Guard
Bloody Sunday was an event with grave consequences for the Tsarist regime, as the blatant disregard for ordinary people shown by the massacre undermined support for the state. Despite the consequences of this action, the Tsar was never fully blamed because he was not in the city at the time of protest.
Bloody Sunday <http://en.wikipedia.org>. Assessed on22 august 2007 at 7: pm.
27. Konstantin Tarnvsky, *Illustrated History of USSR*(Moscow,1982) p.65.66
28. David Mackenzie, *History of Soviet Union* (California, 1991) p.142.143.
29. Ibid.,p.144.145



AL-QALAM

القلم

ISSN 2071-8683 e-ISSN 2707-0077

Volume 19, Issue, 1, 2014

Published by Institute of Islamic Studies,
University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan